IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.BASANT

TUESDAY, THE 3RD APRIL 2007 / 13TH CHAITHRA 1930

Crl.MC.No. 1093 of 2007()

CC.539/2006 of JUDL.MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS, KOYILANDY

.....

PETITIONER:

C.K.VIKAS,S/O.T.V.BALAN,ANJALI HOUSE,
THIRUVANGOOR,CHEMANCHERY,KOYILANDI.

BY ADV. SRI.SANTHARAM.P

RESPONDENTS:

----

1. STATE OF KERALA,REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR,HIGH COURT OF KERALA.

2. K.MANOJ,S/O.MADHAVAN,NEAR VELLUR
SERVICE CO-OP,BANK LTD,VELLOOR AMSOM AND DESOM,
PAYYANNUR.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.S.U.NAZER

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 03/04/2007, ALONG WITH CRMC 1095/2007 THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

R.BASANT, J.

Crl.M.C.Nos.1093 & 1095 of 2007

Dated this the 3rd day of April 2007

O R D E R

The petitioner is the accused in two different prosecutions both under Section 420 I.P.C by two different complainants. Cognizance has been taken. The petitioner has not so far appeared before the learned Magistrate. He finds that warrants of arrest issued by the learned Magistrate are chasing him. According to the petitioner, there were satisfactory reasons which made it impossible for him to appear before the learned Magistrate. The petitioner now wants to surrender before the learned Magistrate. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner apprehends that his application for bail may not be considered on merits, in accordance with law and expeditiously by the learned Magistrate. He, therefore, prays that directions under Section 482 Cr.P.C. may be issued to the learned Magistrate to release the petitioner on bail when he appears and applies for bail.

2. It is for the petitioner to appear before the learned Magistrate and explain to the learned Magistrate, the

circumstances under which he could not earlier appear before the learned Magistrate. I find absolutely no reason to assume that the learned Magistrate would not consider the application for bail to be filed by the petitioner on merits, in accordance with law and expeditiously. Every court must do the same. No special or specific directions appear to be necessary. Sufficient general directions have been issued in Alice George vs.Deputy Superintendent of Police [2003(1)KLT 339].

3. In the result, this Criminal Miscellaneous Case is dismissed but with the specific observation that if the petitioner surrenders before the learned Magistrate and applies for bail, after giving sufficient prior notice to the Prosecutor in charge of the case, the learned Magistrate must proceed to pass appropriate orders on merits, in accordance with law and expeditiously - on the date of surrender itself.

Hand over copy of this order to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

(R.BASANT, JUDGE)

jsr // True Copy// PA to Judge

R.BASANT, J

C.R.R.P.No.

ORDER

21ST DAY OF JULY 2006